

AUDL 4007

Auditory Perception

Week 7

Cochlear
implants

Who are cochlear implants for?


- People with little or no hearing
 - and little conductive component to the loss
- who receive little or no benefit from a hearing aid.
- Implants seem to work best in ...
 - adults who had a significant period of relatively good hearing before becoming profoundly deaf, and who developed good language.
 - children who are young enough to develop language through an implant.

Essential feature

- substitute for faulty or missing inner hair cell ...
- by direct electrical stimulation of residual auditory nerve fibres
 - but brain stem implants are also being used
- Need, at a minimum ...
 - microphone + 'processor'
 - electrodes in the cochlea
 - a way to connect them (radio transmission)



1. Sound is received by the microphone of the speech processor.
2. The sound is digitized, analyzed and transformed into coded signals.
3. Coded signals are sent to the transmitter.
4. The transmitter sends the code across the skin to the internal implant where it is converted to electric signals.
5. Electric signals are sent to the electrode array to stimulate the residual auditory nerve fibres in the cochlea.
6. Signals travel to the brain, carrying information about sound.





The implant in place

*Implanted radio
receiver*

*Electrode inserted
in inner ear*

The electrode array





What are the *essential* purposes of a speech processor?

- To transduce acoustical signals into an electrical form.
- To process the acoustic signal in various ways (*e.g.*, filter, compress).
- To convert (or code) the resulting electrical signals into a form appropriate for stimulation of the auditory nerve.

What other functions can and might be implemented in a speech processor?

- Minimising the effects of background noise.
- The possibility of different processing schemes for different situations.
- Enhancing speech features that contribute most to speech intelligibility.

What should an implant do?

- Mimic the most important functions of the normal ear.
- So what does a normal ear do?
 - transduction
 - frequency analysis
 - amplitude compression
 - preservation of temporal features, both slow and fast (e.g., through envelope following and phase locking)

Common elements in speech processing

- A microphone to transduce acoustic signals into electrical ones.
- Amplitude compression to address the very limited dynamic range of electro-cochlear stimulation.
- Use of the 'place' principle for multiple electrodes (mapping low to high frequency components onto apical to basal cochlear places).

But speech processing schemes vary significantly in other ways


- Pulsatile vs. continuously varying ('wavey') stimulation.
 - Not to be confused with analogue vs. digital implementations. All electrical stimulation is analogue.
- Simultaneous vs. non-simultaneous presentation of currents to different electrodes.
 - Non-simultaneous stimulation *requires* pulsatile stimulation

Multi-channel systems

- All contemporary systems present different waveforms to different electrodes
 - to mimic the frequency analysis of the normal mammalian cochlea.
- Think of the peripheral auditory system as analogous to a *filter bank*.

The filter bank analogy


- Imagine each afferent auditory nerve fibre has a bandpass filter attached to its input
 - centre frequencies decreasing from base to apex



The no-brainer cochlear implant speech processing strategy ...

- Use an electronic filter bank to substitute for the auditory filter bank (the mechanics of the basilar membrane).


A simple speech processing scheme for a cochlear implant: Compressed Analogue (CA)



The most common current method: Continuous Interleaved Sampling (CIS)


- Use a filter bank approach to represent spectral shape ...
- with non-simultaneous pulsatile stimulation to minimise electrode interactions
- with pulse amplitudes modulated by the *envelope* of the bandpass filter outputs.

Continuous Interleaved Sampling




from Philipos Louizou: <http://www.utdallas.edu/~loizou/cimplants/tutorial/>

Continuous Interleaved Sampling



CIS in detail




Simulations can give us some idea
of what an implant user might
experience

But ...*caveat perceptor!*



- These are not exactly what an implant sounds like ...
- but you can get some idea about the kind of information that gets through.

Noise-excited Vocoding




Note important variants in rectification, lowpass filter cutoffs, etc. 23

Note similarity to CIS (and normal cochlear) processing





Separate channels in a 6-channel simulation

file=1to6.sfs speaker= token=





... and when summed together.

Children like strawberries.




Never mind the quality... feel the intelligibility.



Effects of channel number

file=1to16.sfs speaker= token=



1




2



4



8



16




Other schemes:


Necessity is the mother of invention

- The problem (historically)
 - How could devices running at relatively slow rates be used for CIS, which required high rates of pulsatile stimulation?
- The solution
 - Pick and present pulses only at the significant peaks in the spectrum.


Spectral Peak Strategy – SPEAK (n of m strategies)



SPEAK stimulation pattern



Restricted dynamic range means compression is crucial



Absolute thresholds and maximum acceptable loudness levels
Nelson *et al.* (1996) JASA






FIG. 9. Cumulative discriminable intensity steps across dynamic range and the number of discriminable intensity steps per subject. *Upper panel:* Cumulative $\Delta I_{dB} \{10 \log(I + \Delta I) - 10 \log(I)\}$ as a function of stimulus level in percent dynamic range (%DR in dB), which were calculated from the composite Weber functions in Fig. 6. Curves for JPB and FXC were not plotted because they overlapped with the curve for RFM. *Lower panel:* The total number of discriminable intensity steps across dynamic range is given for each of the eight subjects. The total number of discriminable intensity steps for normal acoustic hearing, calculated from Weber fractions reported by Schroder *et al.* (1994), are shown for each of five frequencies within the inset.

Intensity jnds in electrical (opposed to acoustic) stimulation:

- 1) 'miss' Weber's Law more
- 2) are typically smaller, but not by enough to offset reduced dynamic range.

CI users here had 7-45 discriminable steps in the total dynamic range, compared to ≈ 83 in normal hearing

Acoustic/electrical loudness matches



Loudness
grows much
faster in
electrical
stimulation
(hyper-
recruitment!)






Fig. 3. Comparison of loudness vs. stimulus intensity curves for loudness estimated from normals (A) and implant subjects (B). The loudness estimation data for the four normal hearing subjects was all collected at 1000 Hz. The exponent of the power function was inversely related to the dynamic range for electrical stimulation. Examples shown are for 100 Hz, where the dynamic range was 30 dB, and for 1000 Hz, where the dynamic range was only 18 dB.

Temporal resolution: gap detection



Shannon 1993


Temporal resolution: modulation detection (100 Hz)



More
dependent on
level (as for
intensity
jnd's)

Fig. 1. Modulation detection thresholds as a function of the percentage of subjects' electric dynamic range

Temporal resolution: TMTFs



More dependent on level

Otherwise similar to normal listeners (dashed lines)

Relationships to performance with speech

modulation detection thresholds measured at 100 Hz, at a number of levels (previous slide)





Fig. 2. Correlation between phoneme identification (percent correct) and subjects' mean modulation detection thresholds (calculated across each subject's entire dynamic range). Consonant scores and linear regression are shown by the filled circles and solid line. Vowel scores and linear regression are shown by the open circles and dashed line.

Perceiving variations in amount of activity across electrodes

- Essential for signaling of ...
 - spectral shape
- Spectral shape is encoded by relatively slow level changes across electrodes
- Striking fact
 - preservation of fast modulation rates not necessary for intelligibility in noise-vocoded speech


Restricting modulation rates allowable in noise-excited vocoding




Slow level changes across channels



Th-ee-z d- ay - s a ch-i-ck - en-l-e-g is a r-a- re d-i - sh



Discrimination of rippled noise



find the maximum ripple density at which it is possible to discriminate 'standard' ripple noise from its inverted version

'This test is hypothesized to provide a direct measure of the ability of listeners to perceive the frequency locations of spectral peaks in a broadband acoustic signal.'

Discrimination of rippled noise





FIG. 2. Thresholds for spectral peak resolution for NH, HI, and CI subjects. Error bars represent \pm one standard deviation.

Relationships to performance with speech in quiet



12 hVd by 20 talkers

16 VCVs by 4 talkers





Henry *et al.* 2005 J Acoust Soc Am

Statistical interlude: The effect of outliers



vowels

Statistical interlude: The effect of outliers



consonants

Relationships to performance with speech in noise

SRT determined for selection of one of 12 spondees





FIG. 6. Spectral-ripple discrimination is correlated with speech perception in noise. The figure shows the relationship between the spectral-ripple thresholds and SRTs in two-talker babble (*left panel*) and steady-state noise (*right panel*) using data from the first six repetitions. Linear regressions are represented by the *dotted lines*.


Why is speech melody (*voice pitch*) important to hear?

- Contributes to speech intelligibility in all languages
- A good supplement to lipread information
- May play an important role in separating speech from background noises
- Appears to play a more crucial role for the young child developing language
- Crucial in so-called *tone* languages

Pitch based on a purely temporal code




Merzenich *et al.* 1973



Shannon 1993

limited to 300 Hz or so


Pitch based on a purely temporal code



Best normal
performance for normal
listeners about 0.2 %
over entire range

Merzenich *et al.* 1973

Melody recognition




12 songs familiar to most people, synthesised with and without natural rhythm

Kong *et al.* (2004)

Figure 4. Melody identification scores from individual cochlear implant listeners with the original melodies. The horizontal dashed line indicates the mean chance performance. The vertical bars represent different subjects in each condition.


CI users classifying rise/fall contours on diphthongs




Green *et al.* 2004 J Acoust Soc Amer

Melody coded as periodicity in rapid within-channel patterns

Th-ee-z d- ay - s a ch-i-ck - en-l-e-g is a r-a-re d-i - sh



The representation of melody can be messy!




Perception of fundamental pitch in complex waves is very poor


- Lower harmonics cannot be resolved as in normal hearing
- Phase-locking seems 'different'
- Mis-match between place of excitation and temporal pattern may be important

What happens when an electrode is incompletely inserted?

CFs along cochlear spiral
- typical length 35 mm



Simulations of incomplete insertions




Can the deleterious effects of spectral shifting be overcome over time?

Pre-training




Post-training



normal listeners in simulations: Rosen *et al.* 1999 J Acoust Soc Am

Hair cell substitution?




from Lynne Werner: http://depts.washington.edu/sphsc461/CI_notes.htm

Why is a CI not as good as normal hearing?

- It's a *damaged* auditory system, presumably with accompanying neural degeneration (e.g. dead regions)
- Electrodes may not extend fully along the length of the basilar membrane (BM), so mis-matched tuning and restricted access to apical regions (where nerve survival is typically greatest)
- 3000 IHCs vs. a couple of dozen electrodes, hence poorer frequency selectivity
- Current spreads across BM, hence poorer frequency selectivity
- Less independence of firing across nerve fibres, appears to affect temporal coding
- Small dynamic ranges but intensity jnd's not correspondingly smaller, hence fewer discriminable steps in loudness
- But good temporal and intensity resolution

A pessimist's view of CIs



Cullington, H. E., and Zeng, F. G. (2008). "Speech recognition with varying numbers and types of competing talkers by normal-hearing, cochlear-implant, and implant simulation subjects," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. **123**, 450-461.

Electro-Acoustic or Bimodal stimulation: Combining the best of both worlds

- Terminology can be confusing
 - Bimodal stimulation – here typically refers to contralaterally fitted CI and HA
 - although also used elsewhere to refer to auditory-visual or other sensory modality combinations
 - EAS: typically (but not always) referring to ipsilateral or hybrid combination of CI and HA
- Crucial aspect
 - Electrical and acoustical hearing combined

Residual hearing

- Significant residual hearing is found in some 50% of adult CI candidates (UK CI study group, 2004)
 - Less strict selection criteria outside UK will increase this proportion
 - NICE has limited bilateral implantation in the UK to children
- Minimal residual hearing cannot in itself support effective speech communication in absence of lipreading
- But increasingly recognised as useful in combination with a cochlear implant

Contralateral combination of CI+HA

CNC words in quiet





Figure 1. Left: audiogram for the contralateral ear of EAS patients. Right: CNC word recognition in acoustic only, electric (CI) only, and combined electric and acoustic (EAS) conditions (from Dorman et al, 2008). A = acoustic stimulation; E = electric stimulation; E+A = electric plus acoustic stimulation. Error bars indicate +1 standard deviation.

Contralateral combination of CI+HA


Sentences in noise

often substantial improvements with addition of hearing aid:
most of the benefit is from low frequencies



AzBio sentence recognition at +10 dB SNR (bottom) for EAS patients in acoustic alone, electric alone, and EAS conditions. In the acoustic only and EAS conditions the acoustic signal was either wideband or low-pass (LP) filtered at 125, 250, 500, and 750 Hz. Error bars indicate +1 standard deviation. (From Zhang et al, 2010).

Familiar Melody Identification



9 adults who use a CI+HA
but with unspecified amounts
of residual hearing

Sucher, C. M. & McDermott, H. J. (2009).
Bimodal stimulation: benefits for music
perception and sound quality. Cochlear
implants international, 10 Suppl 1, 96-99.

Better thresholds = better hearing






Fig. 2. Song recognition scores for no lyrics/HA alone plotted against median pure tone threshold. A significant correlation was found between recognition score and median threshold ($p < 0.01$, $R^2 = 58.3\%$). One subject, S14, with relatively high thresholds was an outlier with a recognition score of 73%.


El Fata, F., James, C. J., Laborde, M. L., & Fraysse, B. (2009). How Much Residual Hearing Is 'Useful' for Music Perception with Cochlear Implants? *Audiology and Neuro-Otology*, 14, 14-21.

Pitch perception in people with profound hearing loss

Even with profound losses acoustic pitch perception will typically exceed that using a CI



Melody recognition from 5 bimodal users (Kong et al. 2005)



Summary: Bimodal benefits

- Frequent improved speech recognition compared to single cochlear implant
 - largely due to low frequency cues from the hearing aid
- Residual hearing provides information not clearly signalled by CI.
 - Low frequency spectral structure – ?? perhaps ??
 - Speech F0 and amplitude could signal when the CI is providing good information in fluctuating noise??? – Listening in the dips – AKA Glimpsing ???
 - Speech F0 and amplitude could also provide direct speech information e.g. consonant voicing and manner, speech melody
 - Melodic information
- Doubtful that Bimodal fittings (contralateral EAS) can support spatial hearing in typical UK candidates whose hearing does not extend above 1 kHz
 - ILD cues for localization are too small to be useful unless residual hearing extends well above 1 kHz
 - ITD cues for localization are missing at least with current CI systems as pulse timing cannot resolve fine time differences